# **PCT**

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLIST	HED U	JNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)
(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :		(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/12538
C07K 2/00, 7/08, 14/00	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 9 March 2000 (09.03.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 23 August 1999 (		(AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT,
(30) Priority Data: 09/144,419 31 August 1998 (31.08.98)	ι	Published  With international search report.
(71)(72) Applicants and Inventors: CHEN, James [US/U gent David Stoddard Court, Bedminster, NJ 09' YEH, Li-An [US/US]; 18 Shady Oak Lane, Na 01760 (US).	721 (U	S).
(74) Agent: TSAO, Y., Rocky; Fish & Richardson Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110-2804 (US).	P.C., 2	25
(54) Title: TRIPLE HELIX COIL TEMPLATE HAVING	G A BI	OLOGICALLY ACTIVE LIGAND
(57) Abstract		
A template-ligand conjugate including (1) a templa	ences a	e of three cross-linked polypeptide chains, wherein the three polypeptide ligned to form a triple helix coil; and (2) at least one biologically active the three polypeptide chains.

### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

4.1	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AL	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AM AT		FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
	Austria	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AU	Australia	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
AZ	Azerbaijan Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BA		GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BG	Bulgaria	IE.	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukrame
ВJ	Benin	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BR	Brazil		Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
BY	Belarus	IS IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CA	Canada		•	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan		New Zealand		
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ			
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republi:	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
- • •	•			4.5	C., Far		

- 1 -

# TRIPLE HELIX COIL TEMPLATE HAVING A BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE LIGAND

5 Background of the Invention

In general, a therapeutic molecule which targets an enzyme (e.g., collagenase), a receptor (e.g., G protein couple receptor), or an effector (e.g., antibody) must meet two requirements. First, it must contain a template with which one or more biologically active ligands are incorporated. Second, the conformation of the template must be further modified chemically so as to augment the specificity and potency of the therapeutic molecule. Unfortunately, chemical modification typically introduces excessive degrees of freedom into the initial template, thereby decreasing the probability of the interaction between the biologically active ligand and its target.

#### Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides a triple helix coil template for presenting one or more biologically active ligands to a target.

More specifically, an aspect of this invention relates to a template-ligand conjugate which includes (1)
25 a template made of three cross-linked polypeptide chains (i.e., covalently crossed-linked via a tri-crosslinker or otherwise), the three polypeptide chains each containing tripeptide or hexapeptide repeat sequences aligned to form a triple helix coil; and (2) at least a biologically active ligand attached to the template via covalent bonding with one of the three polypeptide chains.

Preferably, at least a biologically active ligand is covalently bonded to each of the three polypeptide chains.

WO 00/12538

If the repeat sequences are located in one terminal region (either N- or C-terminal) of each of the three polypeptide chains, it is preferred that at least one biologically active ligand be attached to the terminal amino acid residue in the same terminal region of one polypeptide chain and that each terminal amino acid residue in the opposite region of each polypeptide chains be covalently bonded to a tri-crosslinker. Further, it is preferable that all three polypeptide chains in a template-ligand conjugate of this invention are identical.

As an example of a template-ligand conjugate of this invention, each of the three polypeptide chains may, independently, have repeat tripeptide sequences of the following formula:

$$(AA^1-AA^2-AA^3)_m$$

in which each AA¹, independently, is a Gly, or a D- or L-Ala; each AA², independently, is a D- or L-Pro; each AA³, independently, is a D- or L-Hyp, or a D- or L-Cys; and m 20 is 4-14 or, preferably, is 4-8. Note that the thiol group of a Cys residue of a tripeptide sequence can form a disulfide bond with the thiol group of a Cys residue of another tripeptide sequence. Also, if one of AA¹'s, AA²'s and AA³'s is a D-amino acid residue, then all the of others must also be of D-amino acid residues; and vice versa.

As another example of a template-ligand conjugate of this invention, each of the three polypeptide chains may, independently, have repeat hexapeptide sequences of the following formula:

$$(AA^{1}-AA^{2}-AA^{3}-AA^{4}-AA^{5}-AA^{6})_{n}$$

- 3 -

wherein each AA¹, independently, is a Gly; each AA², independently, is a D- or L-Pro; each AA³, independently, is a D- or L-Hyp, or a D- or L-Cys; each AA⁴, independently, is a D- or L-Ala; each AA⁵, independently, is a D- or L-Pro; each AA⁶, independently, is a D- or L-Hyp, or a D- or L-Cys; and n is 2-7, or, preferably, 2-4. The thiol group of a Cys residue of a hexapeptide sequence can form a disulfide bond with the thiol group of a Cys residue of another hexapeptide sequence. Also, if one of AA¹'s, AA²'s, AA³'s, AA⁴'s, AA⁵'s and AA⁶'s is a D-amino acid residue, then all the of others must also be of D-amino acid residues; and vice versa.

The above-described template has several advantages. For example, the template has a well-defined 15 three-dimensional structure. Thus, a biologically active ligand attached to it can be studied immediately using molecular modeling. Additionally, such a template provides a greater surface region for chemical modifications to increase target specificity and affinity 20 through "multi-domain" binding and to alter any undesirable chemical properties. In addition, a template-ligand conjugate of this invention enables one to reduce the conformational flexibility or entropy of the biologically active ligand in a selective manner. 25 Further, such a conjugate can be used as a substratebased drug screening tool in lieu of using traditional linear peptide-based combinatorial methods. Finally, a template-ligand conjugate of this invention can also be used in highly specific and efficient columns for the 30 purification of enzymes.

Other features or advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following detailed description of several embodiments, and also from the appending claims.

- 4 -

### Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention relates to a molecule having a template of a covalently crosslinked triple helix coil of three suitable polypeptide chains, each containing 5 tripeptide or hexapeptide repeat sequences, and at least one biologically active ligand attached to the template via covalent bonding with one of the three polypeptide chains (e.g., covalently attached to a terminal or an internal residue of, or bound within, one of the 10 polypeptide chain) without disrupting the triple helix coil. Preferably, the three polypeptide chains are covalently linked to each other via a tri-crosslinker (for example, see below or Goodman, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 5156, 1996). In any event, it is important 15 that the triple helical conformation be maintained when the three polypeptide chains are linked together. Repeat sequences, as defined herein, include a cluster of amino acids which is repeated within a polypeptide chain, preferably forming a substantial portion of the 20 polypeptide chain. Bound within, as defined herein, means that one or more ligands are used in lieu of amino acids within a polypeptide chain of the template. The three polypeptide chains can be identical or can differ by one or more amino acids, and can contain either D- or 25 L-amino acids, or both (herein L-amino acid is intended unless specified). Suitable template-ligand covalent bonds include, for example, normal peptide bonds, modified peptide bonds, or non-peptide bonds. Indeed, each of the polypeptide chain itself may also contain one 30 or more modified peptide bonds or non-peptide bonds. A biologically active ligand, as defined herein, is a ligand which, when bound to the template, can bind to a target site, such as an enzyme, an effector, or a

receptor, to act as an inhibitor, agonist, antagonist or 35 mixed agonist/antagonist. It is also understood that the

- 5 -

biologically active ligands also includes physiologically acceptable salts of the ligands. Examples of a suitable biologically active ligands include ligands which inhibit enzymatic action, such as N-[2-isobutyl-3-

5 (methoxycarbonyl)-propanoyl]-L-tryptophan, which can be
 used to inhibit metalloprotease enzymes (e.g.,
 collagenase, gelatinase, and stromelysin). Other
 suitable ligands include those which bind to receptors,
 such as an anti-idiotic antibody against Angiotensin II
10 receptor or an Arg-Gly-Asp tripeptide, which, when bound
 within a polypeptide chain, will also bind to integrin
 cell surface receptors, thereby inhibiting blood cell
 adhesion.

One method for synthesizing a template-ligand

15 conjugate of this invention includes synthesizing a triple helix coil template, and then dissolving the template in a suitable solvent such as methanol. A suitable biologically active ligand is then mixed with a reagent, or a combination of reagents, suitable to

20 activate the ligand to support subsequent covalent bonding of the ligand to the template. The activated ligand is then contacted with the template under conditions suitable to support covalent bonding of the ligand to the template.

25 After reaction, the product is precipitated, for example, by addition of anhydrous ether. The product is then mixed with an hydroxylamine solution to form the composition according to this invention. The syntheses of a composition of this invention is further described 30 in Example 9.

A template-ligand conjugate of this invention can be used to specifically target an enzyme, an effector, or a receptor. For example, it can be used to treat a disease that is induced or exacerbated by one or more enzymes. The conjugate or its pharmaceutically

- 6 -

acceptable salt, when used as a therapeutic agent, may be administered either by direct application or by systemic application in an amount predetermined by those of skill in the art.

By bonding a biologically active ligand onto or into a triple helical template, the properties of the ligand, such as solubility and hydrophobicity, can be modified to improve its effectiveness against the target. Further, one can enhance the specific activity of a biologically active ligand by attaching it to a template so as to maintain the ligand in a specific range of collagen-like (triple helical coil) conformations relative to the template's physical configuration. Acceptable specific conformations can be determined by known computational molecular modeling techniques or by NMR.

A template-ligand conjugate of this invention can also be used to assay a sample, such as serum or synovial fluid, for the presence of a specific enzyme, an 20 effector, or a receptor. More specifically, the ligand is first coated, by well-known means such as crosslinking or non-covalent binding, to the surface of a well plate or other suitable container. The sample is then added to the container and incubated to form a complex of the 25 enzyme/effector/receptor and the template-ligand conjugate. The container is subsequently washed with saline to remove the unbound materials in the sample. monoclonal antibody, which recognizes only the complex is then added. The antibody can be tagged with radioactive 30 iodine or an enzyme, such as horse radish peroxidase, to allow detection. The container is subsequently washed with a suitable solution, such as saline, to remove and excess monoclonal antibody that has not bound to the

- 7 -

complex. Finally, the complex can be detected by enzymelink immunosorbant assay, calorimetric assay, or radioisotope assay for <sup>125</sup>I-linked antibodies.

A template-ligand conjugate of this invention can also be used to purify a sample containing target molecules, such as an enzyme, an effector, or a receptor, in a sample. The conjugate is first fixed to a chemically inert support, such as beads of agarose or sepharose, or an acrylamide natural solid phase support.

10 The sample is then directed past the conjugate fixed on the support. At least a portion of the target molecules in the sample temporarily bind to the ligand, while the non-target portion of the sample typically does not bind to the ligand, thereby separating the target molecules

Without further elaboration, it is believed that the above description has adequately enabled the present invention. The following specific examples are, therefore, to be construed as merely illustrative, and not limitative of the remainder of the disclosure in any way whatsoever. All parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise stated. Also, all of the publications cited herein, including patents, are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

25 EXAMPLE 1

The structural formulas of a linear template (LT), three non-helical templates having three linked peptide chains (NHT1, NHT2, and NHT3), and a triple helical template (THT) are shown below:

30 Linear template (LT)

15 from the sample.

 $(\texttt{Gly-Pro-Hyp)}_{\blacktriangle}-\texttt{Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-NH}_2$ 

- 8 -

Non-helical template 1 (NHT1)

(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp (Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-Lys-Lys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> (Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

5 Non-helical template 2 (NHT2)

(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp (Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-Lys-Lys-Gly-NH, (Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

Non-helical template 3 (NHT3)

10 (Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-Lys-Lys-Gly-NH,
(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

Triple helical template (THT)

(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-Lys-Lys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>
(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

In the above formulas, Hyp and Acp stand for amino acid residues hydroxyproline and ε-amino-caproic acid, respectively, and Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> stands for amidated glycine

20 residue (i.e., -NH-CH<sub>2</sub>-CO·NH<sub>2</sub>). Of note, the (Acp)-(Lys(Acp)-Lys(Acp)-(Gly)-NH2) moiety served as a tricrosslinker to link together all three polypeptide chains in each of NHT1, NHT1, and NHT1, and THT; as shown above

- 9 -

(e.g., the structure of THT), the carboxyl groups of the 3 Acp residues of the tri-crosslinker moiety reacted respectively with the side chain amino groups of two Lys residues and the terminal amino group of one of the two Lys residues to form 3 amide bonds. Also note that the number of the (Gly-Pro-Hyp) tripeptide repeat sequences in the THT template is 4 (as compared with 1, 2, and 3 for NHT1, NHT2, and NHT3), thereby forming a triple helical coil.

The above-described templates were assembled by 10 stepwise solid-phase procedures on a 4-methylbenzhydrylamine resin (substitution = 0.65 mmole/gram). For lysine, the backbone amine groups were protected by fluorenylmethyl-oxycarbonyl (Fmoc), while the side chain 15 amine groups were protected by either Fmoc or t-butyloxycarbonyl (t-Boc). All other amino acids were protected by t-Boc. Side chain protecting groups of t-Boc-amino acids were benzyl for serine and hydroxyproline. General procedures for peptide synthesis involved standard 20 coupling, as known in the art, using 1 equivalent of protected amino acid with 4 equivalents of Nhydroxybenzotriazole, 4 equivalents of O-benzotriazole-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-uranium-hexafluoro-phosphate and 8 equivalents of diisopropylethylamine at room temperature 25 for one hour. After coupling, the coupled amino acid was then deprotected and reacted with an additional protected amino acid. This coupling/deprotection sequence was repeated until the template was fully synthesized. Amino acids protected with a t-Boc group were deprotected with 30 30% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  for 20 minutes. The deprotection of amino acids with Fmoc protecting groups was carried out by 20% piperidine in dimethylforamide for 20 minutes. The peptides were cleaved from the resin with removal of the side-chain 35 protecting group by anhydrous HF-anisol (10:1) at 0°C for

- 10 -

1 hour and then washed with ether 5 times followed by
extraction with 30% acetic acid and lyophilization. The
crude peptide was purified by C-18 reversed phase high
performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with 0.1% TFA in
water as buffer A and 0.075% TFA in acetonitrile as
buffer B. The desired fractions were collected and
lyophilized followed by the mass spectral analysis.

D,L-2-isobutyl-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-propanoic acid was synthesized according to the method described in 10 Example 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,114,953, issued to Galardy et al. The D,L-2-isobutyl-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-propanoic acid was then treated with 1.02 equivalents of Nhydroxysuccinimide and 1.02 equivalents of dicycloexylcarbodiimide (DCC) at 25°C for two hours 15 followed by the addition of 1.0 equivalents of Ltryptophan. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature, while the pH was maintained at 8 by the addition of triethylamine to form a mixture of R and S isomers of N-[2-isobutyl-3(methoxycarbonyl)-propanoyl)-L-20 tryptophan. The completion of the reaction was monitored by HPLC. The reaction product was then purified by flash chromatography (silica gel) to isomers R and S of the mammalian matrix metalloprotease inhibitor N-[2-isobutyl-3 (methoxycarbonyl) -propanoyl] -L-tryptophan. The R isomer 25 of this biologically active ligand (Ligand A) was used herein to prepare various template-ligand conjugates.

The following is the structural formula of non-helical template 1-ligand conjugate (NHT1-L), in which i-Bu stands for isobutyl:

HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-LysLys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

- 11 -

NHT1-L was prepared as follows:

Ligand A (150 mg; 0.4 mmol), N-hydroxysuccinimide (60 mg; 0.522 mmol) and DCC (101 mg; 0.49 mmol) were stirred in 4 ml of acetonitrile at room temperature for 2 hours. Dicyclohexylurea formed was removed by filtration and rinsed with about I ml of acetonitrile.

NHT1 was dissolved in 2 ml of methanol. The activated ester was added to this solution followed by 100  $\mu$ l of triethylamine. The resultant solution was stirred at room temperature overnight, about 17 hours, to form a methyl ester-ligand-template complex.

After reaction, the product complex was precipitated by addition of anhydrous ether. The solution was centrifuged and decanted. The product complex was resuspended in ether, vortexed, centrifuged and decanted one more time. The residue was dried in vacuum.

A hydroxylamine in methanol solution was prepared by mixing a warm solution of KOH (0.88 g per 4 ml 20 methanol) and a warm solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.736 g in 4 ml methanol) to form a white cloudy solution. This solution was then placed on ice for 10 minutes and centrifuged. The supernatant (hydroxylamine solution) was then used in the subsequent reaction.

To the residue was added 1 ml of the supernatant hydroxylamine solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 7 hours to convert the methyl ester of the ligand moiety to a hydroxamate. At the completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator. The residue was then taken up in 1 ml of water and extracted with ether (3 x 2 ml). The aqueous layer was then lyophilized to form NHT1-L.

Shown below are the structural formulas of non-35 helical template 2-ligand conjugate (NHT2-L), non-helical

- 12 -

template 3-ligand conjugate (NHT3-L), triple helical template-ligand conjugate (THT-L), and linear template-ligand (LT-L):

Non-helical template 2-ligand conjugate (NHT2-L)

5 HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>2</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>2</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-LysLys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>2</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

Non-helical template 3-ligand conjugate (NHT3-L)

HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>3</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>3</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-LysLys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>3</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

Triple helical template-ligand conjugate (THT-L)

HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>4</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>4</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp-LysLys-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub>
HONHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)<sub>4</sub>-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-Acp

Linear Template-ligand (LT-L)

20 HONHCH2CH2(i-Bu)CO-Trp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp),-Gly-Pro-Pro-Ser-Gly-Gly-NH2

All of the above described template-ligand conjugates were synthesized in a manner analogous to that

- 13 -

in which NHT1-L was prepared.

The template-ligand conjugates thus prepared were subjected to analysis by thin layer chromatography. They all showed positive stain with ferric ion (hydroxymate) and Cl<sub>2</sub> tolidine (peptide). Further, they did not move on silica gel when developed with methanol, whereas most impurities did.

To study the inhibitory activity of each of the above-described template-ligand conjugates against activated human interstitial collagenase, an enzyme assay was performed as follows:

Human interstitial collagenase was activated with trypsin as follows. A reaction mixture was prepared in Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 N NaCl, 4  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, 6 nM collagenase and 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes and then soybean trypsin inhibitor was added at 44  $\mu$ g/ml to quench the reaction.

Various concentrations of each of the template-20 ligand conjugates were incubated with the activated collagenase for 5 minutes before the addition of the substrate Mca-[Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Dpa-Ala-Arg-NH2] (Mca stands for 7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl and Dpa stands for N-3-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-L-2,3-diaminopropionyl). 25 substrate was added at final 10  $\mu M$  and was then further incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 750  $\mu l$  of 0.1 N sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0). The hydrolysis of the substrate was assessed by fluorescence, excitation at 328 nm and 30 emission of 393 nm, with a Perkin-Elmer Fluorimeter LS-50B. The  $IC_{50}$  values (in nM) for NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, LT-L, and THT-L are 67, 116, 288, 1400, and 9, respectively. It was unexpected that THT-L showed an inhibitory activity much higher than NHT1-L, NHT2-L, 35 NHT3-L, and LT-L.

- 14 -

#### EXAMPLE 2

NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, and THT-L were obtained in the same manner as described in Example 1, supra, and then subjected to the following enzyme assay.

Stromelysin was activated with trypsin as set forth below: A reaction mixture was prepared in Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 N NaCl, 4  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, 30 nM stromelysin and 3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes and then soybean trypsin inhibitor was added at 44  $\mu$ g/ml to quench the reaction.

Various concentrations of each of the templateligand conjugates were incubated with the activated
stromelysin for 5 minutes before the addition of the

15 substrate Mca-[Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Dpa-Ala-Arg-NH<sub>2</sub>]. The
substrate was added at final 5 μM and was then further
incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. The reaction was
terminated by the addition of 750 μl of 0.1 N sodium
acetate buffer (pH 4.0). The hydrolysis of the substrate

20 was assessed by fluorescence, excitation at 328 nm and
emission of 393 nm, with a Perkin-Elmer Fluorimeter LS50B. The IC<sub>50</sub> values (in nM) for NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L,
and THT-L are 240, 287, 1137, and 32, respectively.
THT-L showed an unexpectedly low IC<sub>50</sub> value, as compared
25 with those for the other three conjugates.

#### EXAMPLE 3

NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, and THT-L were obtained in the same manner as described in Example 1, supra, and then subjected to the following enzyme assay.

Human gelatinase was activated with trypsin as follows. A reaction mixture was prepared in Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 N NaCl, 4  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, 3 nM gelatinase and 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes and then

30

- 15 -

soybean trypsin inhibitor was added at 44  $\mu \text{g/ml}$  to quench the reaction.

Various concentrations of each of NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, and THT-L were incubated with the activated gelatinase for 5 minutes before the addition of the substrate Mca-[Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Dpa-Ala-Arg-NH<sub>2</sub>]. The substrate was added at final 10 μM and was then further incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 750 μl of 0.1 N sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0). The hydrolysis of the substrate was assessed by fluorescence, excitation at 328 nm and emission of 393 nm, with a Perkin-Elmer Fluorimeter LS-50B. The IC<sub>50</sub> values (in nM) for NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, and THT-L are 2.3, 24, 52, and <1.0, respectively. The IC<sub>50</sub> value for THT-L is unexpectedly low, as compared with those for the other three conjugates.

#### EXAMPLE 4

The ability of NHT1-L, NHT2-L, NHT3-L, and THT-L, prepared in the same manner as described in Example 1, supra, to inhibit breakdown of the proteoglycan matrix of bone collagen cells (chondrocytes) was assessed by testing for the inhibition of 35S-glycosaminoglycan (35S-GAG) release measured using cartilage explants (3.5 mm diameter x 1.0 mm thick) prepared from bovine radiocarpal joints.

Knee joints from a 1 to 3 week old calf were obtained immediately after sacrifice from the Abattoir and then transported on ice. The intact joints were washed with tap water and then soaked in 50% (v/v)

Povidine iodine solution, obtained from Barre National, Inc., Baltimore, MD, on ice until dissection. All subsequent steps were performed in a laminar flow tissue culture hood using standard sterile technique. The joint was immobilized in a shank holder and the joint capsule

- 16 -

was cut open to expose the articular cartilage using a
#21 scalpel. Cartilage explant plugs, approximately 15
mg wet weight, were removed from the flat articulating
surfaces in the lower-most region of the knee joint using
5 a sterile steel cork-borer (3.5 mm diameter) and
collected in a 250 ml roller bottle containing about 100
ml fresh medium (Delbecco'S minimum essential medium
(DMEM), obtained from Gibco BRC, LIFE Technologies,
Gaithersburg, MD, containing 4.5 g/L D-glucose and L10 glutamine (no sodium pyruvate), Hepes and sodium
bicarbonate buffers (pH 7.4)) which was supplemented just
before use with 100 units penicillin, 100 μg streptomycin
and 50 μg Lascorbic acid per ml of medium.

The explant plugs were cultured in fresh DMEM containing about 10  $\mu$ Ci/ml of  $^{35}$ S-sodium sulfate for 2 days, while supplied with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% air, to promote incorporation of  $^{35}$ S sulfate into the proteoglycans of the explants. The explants were then incubated for three days in DMEM without  $^{35}$ SO- $^{2}$ 4. The DMEM was then replaced with fresh DMEM and the explant plugs were subsequently incubated for one day in the presence of recombinant human Interluken-l $\alpha$  (rhIL-l $\alpha$ ) (5 ng/ml) which was introduced to cause matrix degradation, and various concentrations of different template-ligand conjugates between 1-100  $\mu$ M, after which the  $^{35}$ S radiation levels, from the  $^{35}$ S-GAG released into the medium, were determined by scintillation counting.

Each plug was then digested with 250  $\mu$ l formic acid at 65-70 °C for 4-6 hours, after which a 50  $\mu$ l 30 aliquot of the digestion mixture was removed for counting. rhIL- $\alpha$  was a negative control. The percentage  $^{35}$ S-GAG released from each explant was calculated from the formula:

<sup>%</sup> release =  $\{(cpm_{medium}) / (cpm_{medium} + 2cpm_{explant})\} \times 100$ %.

- 17 -

Among all the template-ligand conjugates tested, THT-L showed much better inhibitory effect than the others, with a dose of 100  $\mu M$  resulting in an inhibition level of about 90%.

5 EXAMPLE 5

THT-L, synthesized by the procedures described in Example 1, supra, was tested for its ability to inhibit extracellular matrix degradation in a primary bovine chondrocyte culture as follows:

10 Two 1 to 3 week old calf radio-carpal joints were obtained immediately after sacrifice from the Abattoir and then transported on ice. The intact joints were washed carefully with a suitable anti-microbial soap, such as HIBICLENS, rinsed clean with distilled, deionized water, and then sequentially rinsed with 50% (v/v) Povidine iodine solution and with 70% ethanol.

All subsequent steps were performed in a laminar flow tissue culture hood using standard sterile technique. The joint was immobilized in a shank holder 20 and the joint capsule cut open to expose the articular cartilage using a #21 scalpel. Using toothed forceps and a #15 scalpel, the cartilage was removed in full thickness pieces from the condyles without cutting deeply into the subchondral bone. The cartilage slices were rinsed sequentially with 25 ml of Delbeccols phosphate buffered saline (D-PBS), supplemented with 1% antibiotic solution (penicillin, streptomycin and fungizone), and then rinsed twice with 25 ml of D-PBS without antibiotics.

The cartilage slices were then digested in 10 ml of an enzymatic digestion solution containing 1 mg/ml of hyaluronidase in serum-free 1:1 DMEM/Ham's F-12 (DMEM/Fl2) for 30 minutes at 37°C to remove residual hyaluronic acid from the surface of the cartilage slices.

- 18 -

All enzyme solutions were 0.22  $\mu\mathrm{m}$  filter sterilized and kept on ice until ready to use. The enzymatic digestion solution was subsequently aspirated. The cartilage slices were rinsed with 25 ml of D-PBS and then digested 5 with 10 ml of a digestion solution, containing 2.5 mg trypsin (from 2.5% lox liquid diluted 1:10; 1% antibiotic solution) and 2 mg collagenase P per ml serum-free DMEM/Fl2 for 30 minutes and rinsed with saline, to remove the synovial fibroblasts and any adherent connective 10 tissue from the surface of the chips. Finally, the slices were digested with 40 ml of a digestion solution containing 2 mg of bacterial collagenase per ml serumfree DMEM/Fl2 for 6 hours at 37°C in a BELLCO magnetic cell culture stirring flask, at which point the articular 15 cartilage matrix was fully digested away liberating the chondrocytes.

Undigested tissue was recovered by filtration through a 70  $\mu\text{m}$  nylon mesh strainer and the chondrocytes were collected by centrifugation at 1000 x g for 10 20 minutes at room temperature. The cells were then resuspended in 40 ml of DMEM/Fl2 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and an aliquot of the cells were quantitated in the Coulter counter. Chondrocytes were seeded at a density of 8 X 104 cells in 0.5 ml DMEM/Fl2 25 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, in 24-well tissue culture plates and incubated for 4 days in a 37°C humidified, 5%  $CO_2$ , 95% air atmosphere. The cultures were again fed on days 4, 7, 11, 14, 18 & 21 with 0.5 ml well of DMEM/Fl2 plus 10% fetal bovine serum.

On day 22, the chondrocyte cultures were rinsed twice with 1 ml of D-PBS and incubated with 0.5 ml/well of DMEM/F12 plus 10 uCi  $^{35}SO_4^{-2}$  for 48 hours at 37°C. On day 24, the radiolabel-containing media was removed and the cultures re-fed with 0.5 ml/well of DMEM/Fl2 plus 10% 35 fetal bovine serum. The cultures were incubated with

30

- 19 -

media containing non-radioactive SO<sub>4</sub>-2 for two days to allow incorporation of radiolabel molecules into the extracellular matrix and on day 26 were again fed with 0.5 ml of fresh DMEM/Fl2 plus 10% bovine serum.

On day 27 of the chondrocyte culture formation, the cultures were rinsed twice with 1 ml of D-PBS and incubated for 24 hours with 0.5 ml/well of serum-free DMEM/F12 plus 1 ng/ml of human, rhIL-l $\alpha$  and various concentrations of THT-L in triplicate.  $rhIL-l\alpha$  was used 10 as the negative control. At 24 hours, the cell layer was rinsed with 1 ml of D-PBS and digested with 0.5 ml of 1  $\times$ trypsin-EDTA by incubation at 30°C for 1 hour, and then the 35S radiolabel was quantitated by scintillation counting. The results of these assays show that THT-L is 15 a far more effective inhibitor than is the acid form of the identical inhibitor with no attached triple helical coil (i.e., N-[2-isobutyl-3(N'-hydroxycarbonylamido)propanoyl]-L-tryptophan, which was synthesized as described in Example 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,114,953, 20 issued to Galardy et al.). The results also show that the potency of THT-L is similar to that shown by N-[D,L-2-isobutyl-3-(N'-hydroxycarbonylamido)-propanoyl]tryptophan methylamide, which was synthesized as described in Example 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,114,953, 25 issued to Galardy et al. and the R-isomer was separated from the Sisomer by flash chromatography (silica gel,

ethyl acetate).

#### Other Embodiments

All of the features disclosed in this 30 specification may be combined in any combination. Each feature disclosed in this specification may be replaced by an alternative feature serving the same, equivalent, or similar purpose. Thus, unless expressly stated otherwise, each feature disclosed is only an example of a

- 20 -

generic series of equivalent or similar features.

Furthermore, from the above description, one skilled in the art can easily ascertain the essential characteristics of the present invention, and without departing from the spirit and scope thereof, can make various changes and modifications of the invention to adapt it to various usages and conditions. Thus, other embodiments are also within the claims.

What is claimed is:

- 21 -

- A template-ligand conjugate comprising:
   a template made of three cross-linked
   polypeptide chains, wherein the three polypeptide chains
   each contain tripeptide or hexapeptide repeat sequences
   aligned to form a triple helix coil; and
  - a first biologically active ligand attached to the template via covalent bonding with one of the three polypeptide chains.
- The template-ligand conjugate of claim 1,
   wherein the repeat sequences are located in the N-terminal region of each of the three polypeptide chains.
- 3. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 2, wherein the first ligand is attached to the N-terminal amino acid residue of one of the three polypeptide chains.
  - 4. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 3, wherein the C-terminal amino acid residue of each of the three polypeptide chains is covalently bonded to a tricrosslinker.
- 5. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 4, further comprising a second biologically active ligand and a third biologically active ligand, wherein the first, second, and third ligands are attached to the template via covalent bonding with the N-terminal amino acid residues of the three polypeptide chains, respectively.
  - 6. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 5, wherein the three polypeptide chains are identical.

- 22 -

7. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 6, wherein the three polypeptide chains each contain tripeptide repeat sequences aligned to form a triple helix coil.

- 8. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 1, wherein the repeat sequences are located in the C-terminal region of each of the three polypeptide chains.
- 9. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 8, wherein the first ligand is attached to the C-terminal amino acid residue of one of the three polypeptide chains.
- 10. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 4, wherein the N-terminal amino acid residue of each of the three polypeptide chains is covalently bonded to a tricrosslinker.
- 11. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 10, further comprising a second biologically active ligand and a third biologically active ligand, wherein the first, second, and third ligands are attached to the template via covalent bonding with the C-terminal amino acid residues of the three polypeptide chains, respectively.
  - 12. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 11, wherein the three polypeptide chains are identical.
- 25 13. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 12, wherein the three polypeptide chains each contain tripeptide repeat sequences aligned to form a triple helix coil.

- 23 -

14. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 1, wherein each of the three polypeptide chains, independently, has repeat tripeptide sequences of the following formula:

5  $(AA^1 - AA^2 - AA^3)_m$ 

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly, or D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>2</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and m is 4-14.

- 10 15. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 14, wherein m is 4-8.
  - 16. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 15, wherein m is 4.
- 17. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 1,
  15 wherein each of the three polypeptide chains,
  independently, has repeat hexapeptide sequences of the
  following formula:

$$(AA^1 - AA^2 - AA^3 - AA^4 - AA^5 - AA^6)_n$$

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly; each AA<sup>2</sup>,
20 independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is
D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; each AA<sup>4</sup>, independently, is
D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>5</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each
AA<sup>6</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and n
is 2-7.

25 18. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 17, wherein n is 2-4.

- 24 -

- 19. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 18, wherein n is 2.
- 20. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 4, wherein each of the three polypeptide chains, independently, has repeat tripeptide sequences of the following formula:

 $(AA^1-AA^2-AA^3)_m$ 

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly, or D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>2</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and m is 4-14.

- 21. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 20, wherein m is 4.
- 22. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 4,
  15 wherein each of the three polypeptide chains,
  independently, has repeat hexapeptide sequences of the
  following formula:

 $(AA^{1}-AA^{2}-AA^{3}-AA^{4}-AA^{5}-AA^{6})_{n}$ 

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly; each AA<sup>2</sup>,

20 independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is

D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; each AA<sup>4</sup>, independently, is

D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>5</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each

AA<sup>6</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and n

is 2-7.

25 23. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 22, wherein n is 2.

- 25 -

24. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 10, wherein each of the three polypeptide chains, independently, has repeat tripeptide sequences of the following formula:

5  $(AA^1 - AA^2 - AA^3)_m$ 

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly, or D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>2</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and m is 4-14.

- 10 25. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 24, wherein m is 4.
- 26. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 10, wherein each of the three polypeptide chains, independently, has repeat hexapeptide sequences of the following formula:

$$(AA^{1}-AA^{2}-AA^{3}-AA^{4}-AA^{5}-AA^{6})_{n}$$

wherein each AA<sup>1</sup>, independently, is Gly; each AA<sup>2</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>3</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; each AA<sup>4</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Ala; each AA<sup>5</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Pro; each AA<sup>6</sup>, independently, is D- or L-Hyp, or D- or L-Cys; and n is 2-7.

27. The template-ligand conjugate of claim 26, wherein n is 2.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

international application No PCT/US99/19367

	FC1/03///1/30	
	ON OUR IECT MATTER	
	OFFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER 07K 2 00, 7 08, 14/00	
ording to	30-300, 350 International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC	
FIELD	S SEARCHED	
imum doc	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)	
	14 2, 8, 12, 21, 530/300, 345, 350, 356, 402, 408, 409, 410, 411	
	on searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
	ita base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, s	earch terms used)
APS, DIA	LOG, DERWENT DWPI us, triple helix, collagen, conjugate, hexapeptide	
DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	- A Constitution No.
ategory*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
ategory		1-27
. '	US 5,576,419 A (G. FIELDS) 19 November 1996 (19/11/96), see	
	entire document.	. 0 14 16 20 21
<i>(</i> 1)	US 5,856,308 A (ST. PIERRE ET AL) 05 January 1999 (05/01/99),	1-8, 14-16, 20, 21
K,P	column 3, lines 3-17, column 4, lines 19-33, column 3, lines	
	and 41-44, Examples 2 and 3.	
	Call Adhesion and Spreading Activities of	1-27
A		
	a Synthetic 124-Residue Triple-Inched Triple 19, Of Biological Chemistry. 05 July 1993, Volume 268, Number 19,	
	pages 14153-14160.	
	128-5	
	See patent family annex.	
	rther documents are listed in the continuation of Solve	Diffication out class =
	Special categories of cried documents    T	the invention
-A-	The documents are listed in the continuation of the set of the set which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  The later document published after the date and not in conflict with the a the principle or theory underlying to be of particular relevance.  The later document published after the date and not in conflict with the a the principle or theory underlying to be of particular relevance.  The later document published after the date and not in conflict with the a the principle or theory underlying to be considered in the principle of the set	the invention  the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an inventive step
-A-	The documents are listed in the continuation of Social categories of cried documents.  Special categories of cried documents document document document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to the of particular relevance.  Cathor document of particular relevance or interdocument of particular relevance on identification or after the international filing date.  X* document of particular one on the particular relevance or interdocument is taken alone when the document is taken alone.	the claimed invention cannot be claimed invention an inventive stell
-A-	Special categories of cried documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cried to establish the publication date of another citation or other considered to establish the publication date of another citation or other considered to mystly expenses specified).  The later document published after the date and not in conflict with the a the principle or theory underlying document of particular relevance considered nower. Considered nower considered to involve an inventor of particular relevance considered to involve an i	the claimed invention cannot be claimed invention cannot idered to involve an inventive step.  The claimed invention cannot be two step when the document is such documents, such combination.
-A-	precial categories of cried documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance either document outsided on or after the international filing date.  Accument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other process reason as specified.  The later document published after the date and not in conflict with the as the principle or theory underlying.  Accument objects have not referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other being obvious to a person skilled.	the claimed invention cannot identified to involve an inventive step.  The claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the document in such document in the art.
- L	pecual categories of cited document  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  outer document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment vetering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer document setering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer before the other document setering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer before the outernational filing date but later than  'g.'  later document published after the date and not in conflict with the attention of the principle or theory underlying the principle or theory underlying document of particular relevance when the document is taken when document of particular relevance considered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is when the document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document	the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art
TAT	precial categories of cried documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carber document matching the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  Accument obtained on or after the international filing date document of particular relevance considered novel. Londour be considered novel. Londour be considered novel. Londour be considered to establish the publication date of another citation or other precial reason has specified.  The international determination of the international filing date but later than accomment of the same particular relevance considered to involve an inventional filing date but later than accomment of the same particular relevance of the same particular rele	the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art
TAT	pecual categories of cited document  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  outer document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is deciment vetering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer document setering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer before the other document setering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other the outer before the outernational filing date but later than  'g.'  later document published after the date and not in conflict with the attention of the principle or theory underlying the principle or theory underlying document of particular relevance when the document is taken when document of particular relevance considered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document of particular relevance onsidered novels, and or priority claim(s) or which is when the document of particular relevance onsidered novels.  'Y.'  document	the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art
TAT	precial categories of cried documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance carber document matching the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  Accument obtained on or after the international filing date document of particular relevance considered novel. Londour be considered novel. Londour be considered novel. Londour be considered to establish the publication date of another citation or other precial reason has specified.  The international determination of the international filing date but later than accomment of the same particular relevance considered to involve an inventional filing date but later than accomment of the same particular relevance of the same particular rele	the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art
TAT	special categories of creed documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  content document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is creded to establish the publication date of another citation or other received to establish the publication date of another citation or other received to establish the publication date of another citation or other received to establish the publication date of another citation or other received to establish the publication date of another citation or other received to establish the publication date of another citation or other received reason cas specified.  Yet document of particular relevance considered to involve an inven- considered to involve an involve considered to	the claimed invention cannot idered to involve an invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the claimed invention cannot be the step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art
TET TET THE TE	special categories of creed documents  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  content document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is creded to establish the publication date of another citation or other document setering to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other are meant with, shed prior to the international filing date but later than are meant with, shed prior to the international filing date but later than are meant with, shed prior to the international filing date but later than are meant with, shed prior to the international search  Date of mailing of the international  Date of mailing of the international	the claimed invention cannot identify the invention the claimed invention cannot state the claimed invention cannot be true step when the document is such documents, such combination in the art

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/US99/19367

	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Category*	THAKUR et al. Influence of Different Tripeptides on the Stability of the Collagen Triple Helix. II. An Experimental Approach with Appropriate Variations of a Trimer Model Oligopeptide. Biopolymers. 1986, Volume 25, pages 1081-1086, especially Figure 1 and Tables I and II.	1, 8